epilepsy action

Paediatric Epilepsy

Volume Fifteen | Number One | March 2021

CURRENT AWARENESS SERVICE

Epilepsy surgery in children: don't forget, children become adults...

The NHS England-commissioned Children's Epilepsy Surgery Service (CESS) was launched in 2014 and is now well-established. In this issue, Amitav Parida and Shakti Agrawal have written a useful review and update on CESS which has also addressed, and hopefully debunked, a couple of unfortunate and persisting myths.

It is clear that the number of children who have been and continue to be referred to a CESS for a possible surgical procedure has increased. However, the numbers do not yet seem to have reached the predicted and expected target, based on the reported epidemiology of children with epilepsy and specifically those with a drug-resistant epilepsy [Berg et al, 2009]. Although these data provided a baseline when designing the service specification of the four CESS centres, it must be remembered that the data were based on a US and not UK paediatric population. It would be important to triangulate, and therefore validate, the US data with those of other countries with well-established epilepsy surgery programmes in children (such as Germany, Sweden, Australia, Canada). The current criteria that determine the referral of a child with epilepsy to a CESS centre are broad, as you can read at the end of the CESS article.

As stated in their article, "The aim of epilepsy surgery is to remove a structural abnormality that is thought to be the cause of an individual's epilepsy with the explicit intention of obtaining freedom from seizures". This is the primary goal – to achieve complete seizure control or to achieve at least a very significant reduction in seizure frequency. Practically, this means that the aim is for individuals to achieve class I or IIA using the Engel classification, or class I or 2 using the ILAE classification (see the end of this editorial for these classifications). Clearly, these outcome scales were predominantly derived from adult data but it is generally accepted that they would be appropriate for most children who undergo a resective procedure. It would be unrealistic to expect children who undergo a palliative procedure to ever achieve Engel class I/IIA or ILAE class I/2.

\rightarrow

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Professor Richard Appleton, Liverpool Dr Frances Gibbon, Cardiff Professor Rajat Gupta, Birmingham Dr Daniel Hindley, Bolton Laura Neeley, Liverpool Dr William Whitehouse, Nottingham Sarah Collins, Frimley

CO-EDITORS

Professor Richard Appleton Kami Kountcheva

PUBLISHER

Epilepsy Action

CONTENTS

| 1 | Epilepsy surgery in children: don't forget, children |
|---|--|
| | become adults |
| | |

- 5 Children's epilepsy surgery: a concise review
- 14 Recently published papers

However, seizure-freedom in isolation should not be the ultimate goal. Further efforts should continue for those individuals who do gain seizure freedom (or close to it) to try and achieve and maximise a good functional outcome. Clearly, this includes optimising educational achievements but in the longer term, it must also include professional ones. A specific focus should be on employment gains which are intimately related to the mantra of quality of life. These are important not only for the patient and their family, but also for society for health economic reasons. It has been recognised for many years that individuals with childhood-onset epilepsy show consistently lower educational attainment and rates of employment as adults compared with the general population. This is even after the exclusion of those children with early-onset developmental and epileptic encephalopathies (such as Ohtahara, West, Dravet and Lennox-Gastaut syndromes).

It has also become well-recognised that early surgical treatment of refractory epilepsy in children is associated with improved cognitive and developmental outcomes for many reasons:

- It reduces the duration of epilepsy and consequently reduces the time that the developing brain is exposed to the negative effects of ongoing seizures
- It reduces the potential adverse effects of multiple anti-epileptic drugs on attention, memory and behaviour [Helmstaedter et al, 2019; Braun 2020]
- It reduces the many secondary psychosocial consequences of frequent seizures, hospital attendances and emotional trauma that affect the child and their family

Clearly, other factors are associated with worse cognitive and developmental outcomes. These include younger age at epilepsy onset, the presence of malformations of cortical development, a low presurgical IQ and a family history of epilepsy. However, none of these factors should preclude consideration of epilepsy surgery, and many of these children may have the most to gain developmentally and cognitively from a surgical procedure. They all require an assessment by a tertiary paediatric epilepsy specialist and probable referral to a CESS centre.

Up until recently, there was very limited knowledge of employment rates after epilepsy surgery in childhood. In part, this is because it requires long follow-up periods. The participants must have reached an age at which self-sustaining employment is the norm in order for meaningful analyses to be possible. Previous reports have shown a wide range in employment rates of between 33% and 81%. A recent study from Sweden has reported the employment outcomes of 203 patients who had undergone surgery aged less than 19 years between 1995 and 2012 [Reinholdson et al, 2020]. An earlier study from the same group had assessed the prospective and longitudinal long-term employment outcomes after resective epilepsy surgery in 473 adults [Edelvik et al, 2015]. They found that the best vocational outcomes occurred in seizure-free patients who were employed and also in those in whom surgery was undertaken at a younger age. The authors concluded that it seemed logical to suggest that early referral for surgery could contribute to better vocational outcomes in the long-term. In their most recent study [Reinholdson et al, 2020], the majority of the 203 patients (61%) were male and about one in three patients had a preoperative IQ of <70. Ninetytwo patients (45%) underwent a resective procedure involving the temporal lobe. Predictably, most nonresective procedures were undertaken in patients with low IQ (<70). Seventy-two patients were followed up five years after surgery, 127 after 10 years, 105 after 15 years, and 42 patients 20 years after surgery.

Educational attainment and employment status were analysed in relation to seizure outcome. Education and employment outcomes of seizure-free patients with a preoperative IQ of \geq 70 were compared to general population reference data. Unfortunately, there were some clear weaknesses in the study. There were no data on the type of employment and the fact that part and full-time employment were analysed together and not separately.

The duration of epilepsy prior to surgery was a mean of 6.5 (SD, 4.4) years and median of 6.0 (range, 0.1-17.8) years. The mean age at surgery was 13.6 years, which one could argue is rather old. This is because most resections involved the temporal lobe and the pathology of most of temporal lobe lesions is cortical dysplasia or a slow-growing tumour. This would therefore have been present for many years, and even from birth.

Two thirds (66%) had IQ scores of \geq 70. Of these, most had attained at least high school education five years after surgery. Employment rates were 44%, 69%, 71%, and 77% at the five, 10, 15, and 20-year followups, respectively. Seizure-free patients were significantly more likely to work full-time. Educational attainment and rates of full-time employment of seizure-free patients were similar to the general, background population. A majority of patients with IQ <70 had attended special education and were not employed. They were fully or mostly dependent on social benefits. However, it was important to note that the long-term overall employment rates were higher compared to most previous studies that had assessed employment when surgery had been undertaken in adulthood. It was of interest that seizure-free patients with a preoperative $IQ \ge 70$ showed rates of full-time employment that were similar to the background, reference population. Clearly, future studies should analyse the type and complexity of employment and its level of remuneration to see if there is still no difference.

Only one previous study had included comparisons with general population reference data [Puka and Smith 2016]. In this study, the authors also found that there was no difference with respect to a composite measure of employment and school attendance. However, wages earned were much lower in those who had undergone successful epilepsy surgery [Puka and Smith 2016]. Significant associations between seizure freedom and higher employment rates have been shown in two previous but relatively small studies of epilepsy surgery in childhood [Keene *et al*, 1998; Hosoyama *et al*, 2017].

Conclusion

The key take-home messages from the CESS are:

- Could this child with epilepsy benefit from surgery? If in doubt, discuss with your regional tertiary epilepsy specialist
- If this child meets the criteria for referral to CESS, refer them
- Early surgery, and particularly with a successful outcome, will improve the child's educational, psychosocial and probable employment outcomes and ultimately, their quality of life. This is very likely to improve their perceived and actual role in their community and society as a whole. It is also likely to improve the quality of life of their family, including their siblings.

Richard Appleton Co-Editor

References

Berg AT, Mathern GM, Bronen R *et al.* Frequency, prognosis and surgical treatment of structural abnormalities seen with magnetic resonance imaging in childhood epilepsy'. *Brain* 2009; 132: 2785-97

Braun KP. Influence of epilepsy surgery on developmental outcomes in children. *European Journal of Paediatric Neurology* 2020; 24: 40-2

Edelvik A, Flink R, Malmgren K. Prospective and longitudinal long-term employment outcomes after resective epilepsy surgery. *Neurology* 2015: 85: 1482-90

Engel J, Cascino GD, Ness PCV, Rasmussen TB, Ojemann LM. Outcome with respect to epileptic seizures. In: Engel J, editor. *Surgical treatment of the epilepsies*. New York: Raven Press, 1993; page 615.

Helmstaedter C, Beeres K, Elger CE, Kuczaty S, Schramm J, Hoppe C. Cognitive outcomes of pediatric epilepsy surgery across ages and different types of surgeries: a monocentric I-year follow-up study in 306 patients of school age. *Seizure* 2019; 77: 86-92

Hosoyama H, Matsuda K, Mihara T, et *al.* Long-term outcomes of epilepsy surgery in 85 pediatric patients followed up for over 10 years: a retrospective survey. *Journal of Neurosurgery Paediatrics* 2017; 19: 606-15

Keene DL, Loy-English I, Ventureyra EC. Long-term socioeconomic outcome following surgical intervention in the treatment of refractory epilepsy in childhood and adolescence. *Child's Nervous System* 1998; 14: 362-5

Puka K, Smith ML. Where are they now? Psychosocial, educational, and vocational outcomes after epilepsy surgery in childhood. *Epilepsia* 2016; 57: 574-81

Reinholdson J, Olsson I, Tranberg AE, Malmgren K. Long-term employment outcomes after epilepsy surgery in childhood. *Neurology* 2020; 94:e205-e216

Wieser HG, Blume WT, Fish D, Goldensohn E, Hufnagel A, King D, et al. ILAE Commission Report. Proposal for a new classification of outcome with respect to epileptic seizures following epilepsy surgery. *Epilepsia* 2001; 42: 282-6.

Appendix

Post-surgical outcome scales

Engel Scale [Engel et al, 1993]

Class I: Free of disabling seizures

IA: Completely seizure-free since surgeryIB: Non disabling simple partial seizures only since surgeryIC: Some disabling seizures after surgery, but free of disabling seizures for at least 2 yearsID: Generalized convulsions with antiepileptic drug withdrawal only

Class II: Rare disabling seizures ('almost seizure-free')

IIA: Initially free of disabling seizures but has rare seizures nowIIB: Rare disabling seizures since surgeryIIC: More than rare disabling seizures after surgery, but rare seizures for at least 2 yearsIID: Nocturnal seizures only

Class III: Worthwhile improvement

IIIA: Worthwhile seizure reduction IIIB: Prolonged seizure-free intervals amounting to greater than half the follow-up period, but not less than 2 years

Class IV: No worthwhile improvement

IVA: Significant seizure reduction IVB: No appreciable change IVC: Seizures worse

ILAE Scale [Wieser et al, 2001]

Class 1: Completely seizure free; no auras Class 2: Only auras; no other seizures Class 3: 1 to 3 seizure days per year; ± auras Class 4: 4 seizure days; per year to 50% reduction of baseline seizure days; ± auras Class 5: Less than 50% reduction of baseline seizure days; ± auras Class 6: More than 100% increase of baseline seizure days; ± auras

epilepsy.org.uk/yourchild

epilepsy action

Your child and epilepsy

Grow your confidence managing epilepsy in your family

Your child and epilepsy is a new online course for parents and carers of children with epilepsy. It's been developed with parents, epilepsy nurses and psychologists.

This course is a helping hand to support families on their epilepsy journey. It's full of advice and stories from parents. It aims to give parents and carers the confidence, skills and knowledge to support their child to manage their epilepsy.

There are eight parts that cover:

- Understanding epilepsy
- Supporting your child with their epilepsy
- · Keeping your child safe
- The impact of epilepsy on family life
- Your child's wellbeing
- · Learning and behaviour
- · Growing up and independence
- Sources of help and support

Free course

The course is free and flexible. It can be accessed at any time on a computer, tablet or smartphone with internet access.







Leaflets about the course to give to families can be requested by emailing **nurseorders@epilepsy.org.uk**

To view the course go to: epilepsy.org.uk/yourchild Get in touch learning@epilepsy.org.uk

Registered charity in England and Wales (No. 234343) © Copyright Epilepsy Action 2020

Epilepsy Action Information you can trust

Find out more epilepsy.org.uk/trust

Children's Epilepsy Surgery: a concise review New advances and fundamental concepts for the paediatrician

Dr Amitav Parida, Locum Consultant Paediatric Neurologist, The John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford Dr Shakti Agrawal, Consultant Oaediatric Neurologist and CESS Lead, Birmingham Children's Hospital

Introduction

The Children's Epilepsy Surgery Service (CESS) in England is designed to develop a clinical approach that recognises the neurobiology of the developing brain and offer surgical options for pharmaco-resistant epilepsy in a timeous manner. The goal of surgery is to reduce epilepsy-induced cognitive disabilities by stopping seizures as soon as possible. Data from clinical seizure semiology, neuroimaging and neurophysiology are correlated by a multidisciplinary team (MDT), with the aim of developing a 'surgical hypothesis'. If the abnormalities from different modalities are concordant, then a proposal for epilepsy surgery can be made [Cross, 2002].

The pathologies and types of surgery are often varied in paediatric epilepsy surgery patients. In the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) series, for example, the most common aetiologies in children who had an operation by the age of 20 years of age were extratemporal (70%). In the extratemporal group, the most common causes of seizures were cortical dysplasias (50%), infarct or ischemic lesions (17%), and Rasmussen encephalitis (12%). This is consistent with an international paediatric epilepsy surgery survey [Harvey et al, 2008]. By comparison, in surgically treated temporal lobe epilepsy patients under the age of 20 years, the most common aetiology was hippocampal sclerosis (45%). The second most common were lesions, which mostly consisted of tumours such as dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumours and gangliogliomas (27%).

The aim of this brief review is to highlight the importance of epilepsy surgery and the probable reasons for the low rates of epilepsy surgery in the United Kingdom. It will also present recent advances in the field, which are likely to expand the spectrum of children that may be suitable for epilepsy surgery. We aim to cover the important considerations and information needed in epilepsy surgery assessment.

Importance of epilepsy surgery referral

Due to the challenges of classifying epilepsy, epidemiological studies do not give a precise idea of what proportion of children with epilepsy have a structural focal aetiology.This is because around 50% of children have no known cause of their epilepsy [Camfield and Camfield, 2007; Camfield and Camfield, 2015]. Long-term neuro-epidemiological studies have shown that the presence of ongoing seizures is a strong predictor of adverse outcomes in adult life [Camfield and Camfield, 2007]. This is in terms of risk of death, psychiatric comorbidity, unemployment and lack of independent living. These findings highlight the importance of trying to achieve seizure freedom, not just seizure reduction, in as many children as possible with epilepsy.

Of children with a diagnosis of epilepsy, 25% will go on to develop drug-resistant seizures [Kwan *et al*, 2011]. This is defined as ongoing epileptic seizures despite treatment with two appropriately selected anti-epileptic drugs (AEDs) for an adequate period of time.

It is fundamental that non-drug options are explored early in children with drug-refractory epilepsy. Nonetheless, it must be stated that the ketogenic diet and vagus nerve stimulation are 'palliative' treatment solutions where the aim is to reduce frequency of seizures rather than achieve complete seizure freedom [Benifla *et al*, 2006; Mackay *et al*, 2005].

In suitable cases, epilepsy surgery is the single most effective treatment for drug-refractory seizures. In the UK, seizure freedom rates across all epilepsy surgery procedures done with curative intention are around 70% for temporal lobe epilepsy [Agrawal *et al*, 2016]. Thus, it stands to reason that the possibility of resective epilepsy surgery must be considered in all children with drugrefractory epilepsy so that the best life prospects and opportunities are afforded to them.

The CESS is the nationally commissioned service for England. Wales and Northern Ireland are included within this service and their children are evaluated within one of its four centres. Scotland is excluded as it has its own paediatric epilepsy surgery service. The intention of the CESS is to centralise expertise, enhance access to epilepsy surgery assessment and improve outcomes for children who may be suitable candidates for epilepsy surgery. It is evident from the data that although the number of children being referred for epilepsy surgery in England, Wales and Northern Ireland has increased significantly since the inception of CESS, the treatment gap still exists.

Amitav Parida Locum consultant paediatric neurologist The John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford Shakti Agrawal Consultant paediatric neurologist and CESS lead Birmingham Children's Hospital

Common misconceptions about epilepsy surgery

Low rates of referral for epilepsy surgery have been postulated to result from a number of misconceptions from clinicians regarding the risks of epilepsy surgery in children. The purpose of referral is to evaluate children to be sure they have an accurate diagnosis of epilepsy and to consider optional therapies, including surgery, in an attempt to stop refractory epilepsy. Thus, not all children referred to a paediatric epilepsy centre will be surgical candidates. In our experience, changes in medical management, after a thorough diagnostic evaluation, can often control seizures, and children are returned to their local paediatric services in about 20% to 30% of cases [Wu et al, 2006; Widjaja et al, 2011]. Around 50% of children referred for epilepsy surgery assessment do not go on to have a resective epilepsy surgery. This is either because a surgical hypothesis is not identified, or the clinical team or family come to the conclusion that the risks of surgery outweigh the potential benefits. Sometimes, an alternative diagnosis, for example a genetic aetiology, is established after evaluation, which may preclude surgical intervention.

The concept of surgery being seen as a 'last resort' due to the high risk of morbidity and mortality has been debunked by transparent outcome reporting from all epilepsy surgery centres. This reporting has shown no mortality and extremely low rates of unexpected morbidity since the conception of the nationally commissioned CESS. Similarly, the idea that epilepsy surgery is best deferred until adolescence or adulthood due to the perception of lower risk of surgery in older age groups can also be challenged by these outcome data.

There is emerging data to support the concept that early epilepsy surgery may, in some children, improve developmental and intellectual outcome [Boshuisen et *al*, 2015; D'Argenzio *et al*, 2011]. This may be particularly applicable to children under two years of age, when a structural lesion is driving a developmental and epileptic encephalopathy.

In these children, the epilepsy itself is altering the brain's overall functioning. For instance, an infant with drugresistant epileptic spasms caused by a structural abnormality, where the background EEG shows a persistent encephalopathic/ hysparrythmic pattern, may have developmental regression or stagnation as a result. Similarly, epilepsy surgery should also be considered where a unilateral structural lesion is causing Continuous Spikes and Waves in Sleep (CSWS) resulting in cognitive decline [Veggiotti *et al*, 2012]. This is if medical treatment (for instance with corticosteroids or benzodiazepines) has been ineffective. In addition, there are intuitive benefits to operating early on children before hemispheric dominance has been fully established. That way, lateralised functions, such as speech and language, have time to 'cross over' to the unaffected hemisphere [Helmstaedter, 2004].

The absence of an obvious epileptogenic focus on neuroimaging is not a contra-indication for referral for epilepsy surgery assessment [So and Lee, 2014]. Similarly, a normal interictal EEG, or the absence of lateralising focal epileptiform activity on interictal EEG, are not contraindications for referral either.

In children with small areas of focal cortical dysplasias, the interictal EEG can often be normal. Furthermore, if the epileptogenic zone is located in a 'deep seated' area, such as the inferior frontal lobe, obvious ictal changes may not even be seen during captured seizures. In these challenging cases the diagnosis is made based on the clinical semiology of events, with further evaluation with invasive Stereo-EEG required before a surgical hypothesis can be proposed [Cossu *et al*, 2006].

The presence of bilateral epileptiform paroxysms or transients should not necessarily preclude epilepsy surgery referral. In children with a parasagittal or midline epileptogenic focus, bilateral EEG changes may be seen on scalp EEG. Rapid ictal spread from one hemisphere to another may give the appearance of a generalised seizure disorder on EEG. In these cases, the semiology at the onset of captured seizures on videotelemetry is critical in determining whether the seizure is focal or generalised. Similarly, frontal and thalamic structural lesions can sometimes produce interictal EEG changes that mimic a generalised epilepsy [Pizarro *et al*, 2019].

Evolving role of imaging in epilepsy surgery assessment

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines suggest neuroimaging, preferably with an MRI brain scan, in all children with epilepsy aged less than two years. This is also recommended in all children where seizures are not controlled after trial of one AED [Appleton *et al*, 2012]. Imaging may also be normal in children suitable for epilepsy surgery.

Subtle areas of focal cortical dysplasia may not be appreciated, particularly with a 1.5 Tesla magnet [Mellerio *et al*, 2014]. Subtle abnormalities may be missed if there is movement artefact or if dedicated epilepsy imaging sequences (including coronal, axial and sagittal sequences as well as dedicated imaging of the temporal lobes) have not been undertaken.

Referral for epilepsy surgery assessment permits review of a child's neuroimaging by a highly specialised paediatric radiologist or neuroradiologist with specific expertise and high-volume practice in paediatric epilepsy imaging. It could be argued that all children with drug-resistant epilepsy (DRE) should have access to a 3 Tesla epilepsy protocol MRI brain scan (with general anaesthesia if needed). The use of 7 and 11.7 Tesla MRI is currently limited to the research setting but is likely to come into clinical use over the next few years [Veersema *et al*, 2017]. The extra resolution may again help identify subtle cortical dysplasias not seen on lower resolution imaging.

Referral for epilepsy surgery assessment will give children access to advanced imaging modalities such as fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET), single-photon emission computerized tomography (SPECT) and magnetoencephalography (MEG) [Pardoe and Kuzniecky, 2014]. Of course, more recently, Stereo EEG (SEEG) has become one of the more commonly used investigations in lesion negative epilepsies. These sophisticated investigations have proven to be invaluable in helping to determine an epileptogenic focus where no definite focus can be identified on conventional MRI imaging. Functional imaging such as fMRI will also help with determining memory, speech and language lateralisation. This can give important information on risks of surgery, particularly if the lesion is thought to be in the dominant hemisphere. Similarly, diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) can be used in surgical planning to map white matter tracts [Chen et al, 2009].

Evolving role of neurophysiology in epilepsy surgery assessment

The gold standard neurophysiological modality required in almost all cases referred for epilepsy surgery is videotelemetry. The aim of videotelemetry is to capture typical seizures with a video from multiple angles at the same time as a scalp EEG. This usually involves an inpatient stay(Pressler *et al.*, 2017). Measures to 'provoke' seizures, such as medication reduction may be needed if seizure frequency is not high enough. Assessment of the seizure semiology in association with EEG changes during a captured seizure is critical in determining the localisation and lateralisation of the epileptogenic focus.

Dense array EEG is starting to be used in the clinical setting with the aim of giving more precise localisation and lateralisation data [Groppa et al, 2019]. Dense array EEG uses up to 256 electrodes including on the face and the neck. This has the intention of sampling 'deep seated' brain regions (such as the inferior frontal and basal temporal areas) as well as the convexity of cerebrum. It helps to detect epileptic activity that may not be readily appreciable with standard EEG scalp montages.

The increasing use of invasive SEEG has markedly increased the number of cases that are suitable for epilepsy surgery. This is particularly in those case where no epileptogenic focus has been identified on conventional MRI imaging [Isnard *et al*, 2018]. Preparing for SEEG insertion involves a complex multidisciplinary planning process.

The ability to fuse multiple imaging modalities, such as PET and MRI, using computer software, can also help planning

of the location of SEEG electrode insertion. Extremely precise placement of SEEG electrodes can be facilitated by use of robotic technology. The ability to stimulate specific SEEG electrodes to provoke seizures may give additional information to identify an epileptogenic focus. SEEG electrodes may also be used for mapping motor and speech areas of the brain to help with surgical planning [Trebuchon *et al*, 2021].

Intraoperative electrocorticography may also help the surgeon determine the extent of the 'epileptogenic zone' which may extend beyond the limits suggested on imaging or even SEEG [Kuruvilla and Flink, 2003]. Electrocorticography can also be used to map eloquent areas which must be avoided during operations.

Specific conditions which may be amenable to epilepsy surgery

Clinicians should have an extremely low threshold to refer children with specific genetic conditions which are associated with structural pathologies that may be amenable to epilepsy surgery.

In tuberous sclerosis (TS) and familial epilepsy with multiple variable foci (associated with DEPDC5 pathogenic variants) multiple tubers are seen, which are, in fact, areas of focal cortical dysplasia. Seizures are often drugrefractory in these conditions. In some children, it may be shown that all or most seizures are arising from one or two areas of focal cortical dysplasia which could be targets for surgical resection [Jansen *et al*, 2007]. NICE guidelines suggest that all children with TS and intractable seizures should have their cases discussed at an epilepsy surgery MDT before consideration of mTOR inhibitors such as everolimus [Mizuguchi *et al*, 2019;Amin *et al*, 2019].

In children with hemimegalencephaly syndromes, where there is overgrowth or significant structural abnormality limited to one hemisphere, a hemispherotomy (a functional disconnection operation) should be considered early [Di Rocco *et al*, 2006]. Similarly, in Sturge-Weber syndrome, hemispherotomy should be considered if pial angioma are limited to one hemisphere and all seizures arise from that hemisphere [Bourgeois *et al*, 2007].

Rasmussen's encephalitis is a T-cell mediated neuroinflammatory condition. It typically presents with a subacute onset of drug-refractory focal seizures with progression to unilateral hemiparesis and sometimes epilepsia partialis continua (persistent focal motor seizures) over time. Response to immune suppression is often only transient or limited. Therefore, hemispherotomy should be considered as an option early in children with Rasmussen's encephalitis and intractable seizures [Varadkar et al, 2014].

Children who have suffered a unilateral (often antenatal) stroke can often be good candidates for hemispherotomy,

Amitav Parida Locum consultant paediatric neurologist The John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford Shakti Agrawal Consultant paediatric neurologist and CESS lead Birmingham Children's Hospital

where seizures are drug-refractory [Maehara et al, 2002]. Hemispherotomy will result in a unilateral functional motor deficit, as well as a homonymous hemianopia. However, in many children a motor or visual deficit may already be present pre-operatively, due to their underlying condition. The vast majority of pre-operatively ambulant children will regain the ability to walk post hemispherotomy but will have limited upper limb and hand function on the affected side. The rehabilitation journey in younger children and in those with pre-operative motor deficits is often much shorter than in older children with no previous weakness.

Palliative epilepsy surgery procedures

The majority of children undergoing epilepsy surgery undergo a resective surgery with the intention of achieving seizure freedom, but important palliative treatments exist. Vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) is the most common palliative surgical intervention.VNS involves insertion of a pacemaker-like generator implanted in the chest wall that is connected to the vagus nerve via a lead. Regular electrical impulses are sent to the vagus nerve which then propagate to the brain. A hand-held magnet can be swept over the device to send more impulses to the brain with the aim of aborting a seizure that has already started [Wheless *et al*, 2018]. Similarly, new VNS models can detect rises in heart rate which may be associated with seizures and thus automatically send extra impulses to help abort a seizure [Fisher *et al*, 2016].

VNS has been shown to reduce seizure frequency by half in 40-50% of children [Benifla *et al*, 2006]. Traditionally,VNS insertion is only considered after a resective hypothesis has been considered and excluded as an option. Nonetheless, with special precautions, children with pre-existing VNS devices can still undergo MRI scans as part of assessment for possible resective epilepsy surgery if needed [Sayed *et al*, 2020].

Corpus callosotomy is still frequently performed in children with drug resistant seizures, particularly drop seizures associated with Lennox-Gastaut syndrome. In this operation the white matter tract between the cerebral hemispheres (corpus callosum) is cut with the aim of preventing spread of seizures between both hemispheres [Asadi-Pooya et al, 2008]. In one systematic review corpus callosotomy was shown to be superior to VNS in reducing the frequency of drop attacks [You et al, 2008].

The technique of multiple subpial transection, used in the past as surgical treatment for Landau-Kleffner syndrome, has fallen out of favour. This came after a Cochrane review demonstrated a lack of evidence for the efficacy and safety of this procedure [Krishnaiah *et al*, 2018]. The use of hemispherotomy as a palliative procedure, where seizures are emanating from both hemispheres, is

generally not recommended. Nonetheless, in specific cases where the vast majority of seizures come from one hemisphere and there is a significant seizure burden, it can be considered after detailed multidisciplinary discussion in expert centres [Ciliberto *et al*, 2012].

New surgical developments

Intracranial Magnetic Resonance guided laser-induced thermal therapy (LiTT) combines a laser applicator with image guidance to ablate brain targets including those that may be difficult to access with a conventional approach. No craniotomy is required and damage to cortical areas is reduced. LiTT may be particularly beneficial where eloquent brain areas or important structures or vessels may be damaged using a standard approach [Tovar-Spinoza et al, 2013]. LiTT has been used extensively in clinical practice in North America and its use is likely to increase in UK neurosurgical practice.

LiTT has gained particular attention for its role in the treatment of hypothalamic harmatomas. However, it has also been used to treat mesial temporal lobe sclerosis, deep seated focal cortical dysplasia, cavernomas and nodular periventricular heterotopias [Patel *et al*, 2016].

Deep brain stimulation as a palliative treatment for children with DRE has also shown some promising early results in one case series. However, it is not currently used in clinical practice in the UK. It remains unclear which targets to stimulate in the context of epilepsy. Stimulation targets including the centromedian nucleus of the thalamus, anterior thalamic nuclei, hippocampi and hypothalamus have been attempted [Zangiabadi et al, 2019].

Life after epilepsy surgery

If seizure remission after epilepsy surgery is achieved, then withdrawal of AEDs should take place. Withdrawal of AEDs brings the benefits of reducing medication side-effects which may include mood and cognitive difficulties [Boshuisen *et al*, 2015].

Traditionally, AEDs were withdrawn after one year of post-operative seizure freedom. A recent study has suggested there was no adverse consequences of AED withdrawal at six months [Boshuisen *et al*, 2012]. Earlier withdrawal of AEDs may help to unmask inadequate surgical resection and thus prompt re-evaluation and consideration of further surgical intervention.

Long-term seizure freedom rates have been shown to be higher in temporal lobe versus extra-temporal surgery and in cases where a clear abnormality is seen on MRI versus MRI 'negative' cases [D'Argenzio *et al*, 2012]. Recurrence of seizures after epilepsy surgery, even after complete removal of an epileptogenic lesion as demonstrated on post-operative imaging, has sparked interest. It has led to the concept of the 'epileptogenic zone' which extends beyond an epileptogenic lesion [Kahane *et al*, 2006].The epileptogenic zone may be defined as the area of cortex necessary and sufficient to initiate seizures and the removal of which is needed for complete seizure abolition. Further evaluation of a child with recurrence of seizures after epilepsy surgery, which may include the use of SEEG and electrocorticography, should be always considered [Muthaffar *et al*, 2017; Reed *et al*, 2017].

Conclusions, current referral criteria and perspectives for the future

Given the poor long-term outcomes of children with DRE, the possibility of a resective surgical hypothesis to achieve complete seizure remission must be considered in all children with DRE. This should include cases where imaging and neurophysiological data may not obviously point towards a structural focal aetiology.

At the moment the criteria for referral for epilepsy surgery are:

- 1. All children under 24 months with evidence of focality to seizure onset, with or without an MRI lesion
- 2. Children of any age with evident focal epilepsy or lateralised seizures associated with congenital hemiplegia resistant to two appropriate AEDs
- 3. Children associated with a lateralised abnormality seen on a brain scan
- Children with Sturge-Weber syndrome, benign tumours associated with ongoing seizures or developmental issues
- 5. Children with Rasmussen's encephalitis
- 6. Children with TS with epilepsy resistant to two appropriate AEDs
- 7. Children with drop attacks as part of a complex epilepsy
- 8. Children with hypothalamic harmatomas

A recent randomised control trial, which demonstrated the superiority of epilepsy surgery over conventional AED therapy as a first line treatment for structural focal epilepsy, has sparked much interest and debate [Dwivedi et *al*, 2017]. At the moment, in majority of the cases epilepsy surgery is only considered if medical management has failed, but this may change in the future. Given the expanding range of diagnostic and interventional tools available, the number of children suitable for epilepsy surgery is likely to continue to rise which will have ongoing implications for provision of CESS in the UK.

Dr Amitav Parida, locum consultant paediatric neurologist, The John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford

Dr Shakti Agrawal, consultant paediatric neurologist and CESS lead, Birmingham Children's Hospital

References

Agrawal, S. K., Philip, S., Varadkar, S., Martland, T., Carter, M., Harkness, W., Cross, J. H., Verity, C., & Walsh, R. (2016). G103 (P) Children's epilepsy surgery service in England: Audit of activity and outcome. *BMJ* Publishing Group Ltd.

Amin, S., Kingswood, J. C., Bolton, P. F., Elmslie, F., Gale, D. P., Harland, C., Johnson, S. R., Parker, A., Sampson, J. R., & Smeaton, M. (2019). The UK guidelines for management and surveillance of Tuberous Sclerosis Complex. *QJM:An International Journal of Medicine*, 112(3), 171–182.

Appleton, R. E., Freeman, A., & Cross, J. H. (2012). Diagnosis and management of the epilepsies in children: a summary of the partial update of the 2012 NICE epilepsy guideline. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 97(12), 1073–1076.

Asadi-Pooya, A. A., Sharan, A., Nei, M., & Sperling, M. R. (2008). Corpus callosotomy. *Epilepsy & Behavior*, 13(2), 271–278.

Benifla, M., Rutka, J.T., Logan, W., & Donner, E. J. (2006). Vagal nerve stimulation for refractory epilepsy in children: indications and experience at The Hospital for Sick Children. *Child's Nervous System*, 22(8), 1018–1026.

Boshuisen, K., Arzimanoglou, A., Cross, J. H., Uiterwaal, C. S. P. M., Polster, T., van Nieuwenhuizen, O., Braun, K. P. J., & Group, T. S. (2012). Timing of antiepileptic drug withdrawal and long-term seizure outcome after paediatric epilepsy surgery (TimeToStop): a retrospective observational study. *The Lancet Neurology*, 11(9), 784–791.

Boshuisen, K., van Schooneveld, M. M. J., Uiterwaal, C. S. P. M., Cross, J. H., Harrison, S., Polster, T., Daehn, M., Djimjadi, S., Yalnizoglu, D., & Turanli, G. (2015). Intelligence quotient improves after antiepileptic drug withdrawal following pediatric epilepsy surgery. *Annals of Neurology*, 78(1), 104–114.

Bourgeois, M., Crimmins, D.W., De Oliveira, R. S., Arzimanoglou, A., Garnett, M., Roujeau, T., Di Rocco, F., & Sainte-Rose, C. (2007). Surgical treatment of epilepsy in Sturge–Weber syndrome in children. *Journal of Neurosurgery: Pediatrics*, 106(1), 20–28.

Camfield, C. S., & Camfield, P. R. (2007). Long-term social outcomes for children with epilepsy. *Epilepsia*, 48, 3–5.

Camfield, P., & Camfield, C. (2015). Incidence, prevalence and aetiology of seizures and epilepsy in children. *Epileptic Disorders*, 17(2), 117–123.

Chen, X., Weigel, D., Ganslandt, O., Buchfelder, M., & Nimsky, C. (2009). Prediction of visual field deficits by diffusion tensor imaging in temporal lobe epilepsy surgery. *Neuroimage*, 45(2), 286–297.

Ciliberto, M.A., Limbrick, D., Powers, A., Titus, J. B., Munro, R., &

Amitav Parida Locum consultant paediatric neurologist The John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford Shakti Agrawal Consultant paediatric neurologist and CESS lead Birmingham Children's Hospital

Smyth, M. D. (2012). Palliative hemispherotomy in children with bilateral seizure onset. *Journal of Neurosurgery: Pediatrics*, 9(4), 381–388.

Cossu, M., Cardinale, F., Castana, L., Nobili, L., Sartori, I., & Russo, G. Lo. (2006). Stereo-EEG in children. *Child's Nervous System*, 22(8), 766–778.

Cross, J. H. (2002). Epilepsy surgery in childhood. Epilepsia, 43, 65–70.

D'ARGENZIO, L., Colonnelli, M. C., Harrison, S., Jacques, T. S., Harkness, W., Scott, R. C., & Cross, J. H. (2012). Seizure outcome after extratemporal epilepsy surgery in childhood. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 54(11), 995–1000.

D'Argenzio, L., Colonnelli, M. C., Harrison, S., Jacques, T. S., Harkness, W., Vargha-Khadem, F., Scott, R. C., & Cross, J. H. (2011). Cognitive outcome after extratemporal epilepsy surgery in childhood. *Epilepsia*, 52(11), 1966–1972.

Di Rocco, C., Battaglia, D., Pietrini, D., Piastra, M., & Massimi, L. (2006). Hemimegalencephaly: clinical implications and surgical treatment. *Child's Nervous System*, 22(8), 852–866.

Dwivedi, R., Ramanujam, B., Chandra, P. S., Sapra, S., Gulati, S., Kalaivani, M., Garg, A., Bal, C. S., Tripathi, M., & Dwivedi, S. N. (2017). Surgery for drug-resistant epilepsy in children. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 377(17), 1639–1647.

Fisher, R. S., Afra, P., Macken, M., Minecan, D. N., Bagić, A., Benbadis, S. R., Helmers, S. L., Sinha, S. R., Slater, J., & Treiman, D. (2016). Automatic vagus nerve stimulation triggered by ictal tachycardia: clinical outcomes and device performance—the US E-37 trial. *Neuromodulation:Technology at the Neural Interface*, 19(2), 188–195.

Groppa, S.A., Ciolac, D., Vataman, A., & Chiosa, V. (2019). Dense array electroencephalography-based electric source imaging of interictal epileptiform discharges. *International Conference on Nanotechnologies and Biomedical Engineering*, 461–467.

Harvey, A. S., Cross, J. H., Shinnar, S., Mathern, G. W., & Taskforce, P. E. S. S. (2008). Defining the spectrum of international practice in pediatric epilepsy surgery patients. *Epilepsia*, 49(1), 146–155.

Helmstaedter, C. (2004). Neuropsychological aspects of epilepsy surgery. *Epilepsy & Behavior*, 5, 45–55.

Isnard, J., Taussig, D., Bartolomei, F., Bourdillon, P., Catenoix, H., Chassoux, F., Chipaux, M., Clémenceau, S., Colnat-Coulbois, S., & Denuelle, M. (2018). French guidelines on stereoelectroencephalography (SEEG). *Neurophysiologie Clinique*, 48(1), 5–13.

Jansen, F. E., Van Huffelen, A. C., Algra, † § Ale, & Van Nieuwenhuizen, O. (2007). Epilepsy surgery in tuberous sclerosis: a systematic review. *Epilepsia*, 48(8), 1477–1484. Kahane, P., Landré, E., Minotti, L., Francione, S., & Ryvlin, P. (2006). The Bancaud and Talairach view on the epileptogenic zone: a working hypothesis. *Epileptic Disorders*, 8(2), 16–26.

Krishnaiah, B., Ramaratnam, S., & Ranganathan, L. N. (2018). Subpial transection surgery for epilepsy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 11.

Kuruvilla, A., & Flink, R. (2003). Intraoperative electrocorticography in epilepsy surgery: useful or not? *Seizure*, 12(8), 577–584.

Kwan, P., Schachter, S. C., & Brodie, M. J. (2011). Drug-resistant epilepsy. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 365(10), 919–926.

Mackay, M.T., Bicknell-Royle, J., Nation, J., Humphrey, M., & Harvey, A. S. (2005). The ketogenic diet in refractory childhood epilepsy. *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health*, 41(7), 353–357.

Maehara, T., Shimizu, H., Kawai, K., Shigetomo, R., Tamagawa, K., Yamada, T., & Inoue, M. (2002). Postoperative development of children after hemispherotomy. *Brain and Development*, 24(3), 155–160.

Mellerio, C., Labeyrie, M., Chassoux, F., Roca, P., Alami, O., Plat, M., Naggara, O., Devaux, B., Meder, J., & Oppenheim, C. (2014). 3T MRI improves the detection of transmantle sign in type 2 focal cortical dysplasia. *Epilepsia*, 55(1), 117–122.

Mizuguchi, M., Ikeda, H., Kagitani-Shimono, K., Yoshinaga, H., Suzuki, Y., Aoki, M., Endo, M., Yonemura, M., & Kubota, M. (2019). Everolimus for epilepsy and autism spectrum disorder in tuberous sclerosis complex: EXIST-3 substudy in Japan. *Brain and Development*, 41(1), 1–10.

Muthaffar, O., Puka, K., Rubinger, L., Go, C., Snead, O. C., Rutka, J.T., & Widjaja, E. (2017). Reoperation after failed resective epilepsy surgery in children. *Journal of Neurosurgery: Pediatrics*, 20(2), 134–140.

Pardoe, H., & Kuzniecky, R. (2014). Advanced Imaging Techniques in the Diagnosis of Nonlesional Epilepsy: MRI, MRS, PET, and SPECT: Advanced Imaging Techniques in the Diagnosis of Nonlesional Epilepsy. *Epilepsy Currents*, 14(3), 121–124.

Patel, P., Patel, N.V, & Danish, S. F. (2016). Intracranial MR-guided laser-induced thermal therapy: single-center experience with the Visualase thermal therapy system. *Journal of Neurosurgery*, 125(4), 853–860.

Pizarro, D., Ilyas, A., Chaitanya, G., Toth, E., Irannejad, A., Romeo, A., Riley, K. O., lasemidis, L., & Pati, S. (2019). Spectral organization of focal seizures within the thalamotemporal network. *Annals of Clinical and Translational Neurology*, 6(9), 1836–1848.

Pressler, R. M., Seri, S., Kane, N., Martland, T., Goyal, S., Iyer, A., Warren, E., Notghi, L., Bill, P., & Thornton, R. (2017).

Consensus-based guidelines for Video EEG monitoring in the pre-surgical evaluation of children with epilepsy in the UK. *Seizure*, 50, 6–11.

Reed, C. M., Dewar, S., Fried, I., Engel Jr, J., & Eliashiv, D. (2017). Failed epilepsy surgery deserves a second chance. *Clinical Neurology and Neurosurgery*, 163, 110–115.

Sayed, D., Chakravarthy, K., Amirdelfan, K., Kalia, H., Meacham, K., Shirvalkar, P., Falowski, S., Petersen, E., Hagedorn, J. M., & Pope, J. (2020). A comprehensive practice guideline for magnetic resonance imaging compatibility in implanted neuromodulation devices. *Neuromodulation:Technology at the Neural Interface*, 23(7), 893–911.

So, E. L., & Lee, R. W. (2014). Epilepsy surgery in MRI-negative epilepsies. *Current Opinion in Neurology*, 27(2), 206–212.

Tovar-Spinoza, Z., Carter, D., Ferrone, D., Eksioglu, Y., & Huckins, S. (2013). The use of MRI-guided laser-induced thermal ablation for epilepsy. *Child's Nervous System*, 29(11), 2089–2094.

Trebuchon, A., Racila, R., Cardinale, F., Lagarde, S., McGonigal, A., Russo, G. Lo, Scavarda, D., Carron, R., Mai, R., & Chauvel, P. (2021). Electrical stimulation for seizure induction during SEEG exploration: a useful predictor of postoperative seizure recurrence? *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 92(1), 22–26.

Varadkar, S., Bien, C. G., Kruse, C.A., Jensen, F. E., Bauer, J., Pardo, C. A., Vincent, A., Mathern, G.W., & Cross, J. H. (2014). Rasmussen's encephalitis: clinical features, pathobiology, and treatment advances. *The Lancet Neurology*, 13(2), 195–205.

Veersema, T. J., Ferrier, C. H., van Eijsden, P., Gosselaar, P. H., Aronica, E., Visser, F., Zwanenburg, J. M., de Kort, G. A. P., Hendrikse, J., & Luijten, P. R. (2017). Seven tesla MRI improves detection of focal cortical dysplasia in patients with refractory focal epilepsy. *Epilepsia Open*, 2(2), 162–171.

Veggiotti, P., Pera, M. C., Teutonico, F., Brazzo, D., Balottin, U., & Tassinari, C.A. (2012). Therapy of encephalopathy with status epilepticus during sleep (ESES/CSWS syndrome): An update. *Epileptic Disorders*, 14(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1684/ epd.2012.0482

Wheless, J.W., Gienapp, A. J., & Ryvlin, P. (2018). Vagus nerve stimulation (VNS) therapy update. *Epilepsy & Behavior*, 88, 2–10.

Widjaja E, Li B, Schinkel CD, et al. Cost-effectiveness of pediatric epilepsy surgery compared to medical treatment in children with intractable epilepsy. *Epilepsy Res* 2011;94(1-2):61-8.

Wu JY, Sutherling WW, Koh S, et al. Magnetic source imaging localizes epileptogenic zone in children with tuberous sclerosis complex. *Neurology* 2006;66(8):1270-2.

You, S. J., Kang, H.-C., Ko, T.-S., Kim, H. D., Yum, M.-S., Hwang, Y. S., Lee, J.-K., Kim, D. S., & Park, S. K. (2008). Comparison of corpus callosotomy and vagus nerve stimulation in children with Lennox–Gastaut syndrome. *Brain and Development*, 30(3), 195–199.

Zangiabadi, N., Ladino, L. D., Sina, F., Orozco-Hernández, J. P., Carter, A., & Téllez-Zenteno, J. F. (2019). Deep brain stimulation and drug-resistant epilepsy: a review of the literature. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 10, 601.



epilepsyspace.org.uk

The Epilepsy Space



The mobile friendly website is a helping hand for 16-25 year olds to live their best life with epilepsy

The Epilepsy Space will help young people to:

- Manage their epilepsy
- Feel less alone
- Increase their confidence
- Get the support they need

There's lots of epilepsy facts, tips and stories from young people sharing their experience.

The content is short and interactive. It's not all reading, there's video and young people can share their own quotes, stories and videos too. It's been created with young people and reviewed by epilepsy nurses.

Take a look at: epilepsyspace.org.uk

Leaflets about The Epilepsy Space to give to young people can be requested by emailing: nurseorders@epilepsy.org.uk

> Epilepsy Action Information you can trust

Find out more epilepsy.org.uk/trust

Registered charity in England and Wales (No. 234343)

Recently published papers

This section highlights recently published papers. Hopefully this will be very useful to all, helping to keep everyone up to date with the latest developments. It will certainly save you research and reading time, not having to search so many journals.

There are many (often over 300) epilepsy papers published every three months, so what follows has been edited. All animal papers have been excluded and as many review papers as possible have been included. We hope you find the papers of interest in your pursuit to keep abreast of the very latest knowledge.

UEDA R, Kaga Y, Kita Y, Tanaka M, Iwasaki M, Takeshita E, Shimizu-Motohashi Y, Ishiyama A, Saito T, Nakagawa E, Sugai K, Sasaki M, Okada T, Inagaki M.

Postoperative improvement of executive function and adaptive behavior in children with intractable epilepsy

Brain Dev. 2021 Feb;43(2):280-287. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2020.08.005.

SURANA S, Rossor T, Hassell J, Boyd S, D'Arco F, Aylett S, Bhate S, Carr L, Das K, DeVile C, Eltze C, Hemingway C, Kaliakatsos M, O'Callaghan F, Prabhakar P, Robinson R, Varadkar S, Cross JH, Hacohen Y. **Diagnostic algorithm for children presenting with epilepsia partialis continua**

Epilepsia. 2020 Oct;61(10):2224-2233. doi: 10.1111/epi.16650.

JOSHI S, Gali K, Radecki L, Shah A, Hueneke S, Calabrese T, Katzenbach A, Sachdeva R, Brown L, Kimball E, White P, McManus P, Wood D, Nelson E-L, Archuleta P. **Integrating quality improvement into the ECHO model to improve care for children and youth with epilepsy** *Epilepsia.* 2020 Sep;61(9):1999-2009. doi: 10.1111/epi.16625.

HU TY, Wang H-Q, Zhang WP, Tian RF, Lei GS, Deng YC, Xing JL.

Network meta-analysis of antiepileptic drugs in focal drug-resistant epilepsy Epilepsy Res. 2020 Nov;167:106433. doi: 10.1016/j.eplepsyres.2020.106433. PANDA PK, Sharawat IK. **Risk factors for readmissions of children with epilepsy** *Pediatr Neurol.* 2020 Nov;112:101. doi: 10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2020.07.008.

TIROL FG, Kumar A. **Resident training in transitioning youth with epilepsy into adult care** *J Child Neurol.* 2021 Jan;36(1):60-64. doi: 10.1177/0883073820953010.

VASQUEZ A, Gaínza-Lein M, Abend NS, Amengual-Gual M, Anderson A, Arya R, Brenton JN, Carpenter JL, Chapman K, Clark J, Farias-Moeller R, Gaillard WD, Glauser T, Goldstein JL, Goodkin HP, Guerriero RM, Kapur K, Lai Y-C, McDonough TL, Mikati MA, Morgan LA, Novotny EJ, Ostendorf AP, Payne ET, Peariso K, Piantino J, Riviello JJ, Sannagowdara K, Tasker RC, Tchapyjnikov D, Topjian A, Wainwright MS, Wilfong A, Williams K, Loddenkemper T, Pediatric Status Epilepticus Research Group (pSERG). **First-line medication dosing in pediatric refractory status epilepticus**

Neurology. 2020 Nov 10;95(19):e2683-e2696. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000010828.

LEARY LD.

Autoimmune epilepsy in children: unraveling the mystery Pediatr Neurol. 2020 Nov;112:73-77. doi: 10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2020.03.016.

TRAU SP, Sterrett EC, Feinstein L, Tran L, Gallentine WB, Tchapyjnikov D. Institutional pediatric convulsive status epilepticus protocol decreases time to first and second line anti-seizure medication administration Seizure. 2020 Oct;81:263-268. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2020.08.011.

THORN EL, Ostrowski LM, Chinappen DM, Jing J, Westover MB, Stufflebeam SM, Kramer MA, Chu CJ.

Persistent abnormalities in Rolandic thalamocortical white matter circuits in childhood epilepsy with centrotemporal spikes *Epilepsia.* 2020 Nov;61(11):2500-2508. doi: 10.1111/epi.16681. UDWADIA FR, McDonald PJ, Connolly MB, Hrincu V, Illes J. Youth weigh in: views on advanced neurotechnology for drug-resistant epilepsy

J Child Neurol. 2021 Feb;36(2):128-132. doi: 10.1177/0883073820957810.

PHILLIPS NL, Widjaja E, Smith ML. Changes in caregiver depression, anxiety, and satisfaction with family relationships in families of children who did and did not undergo resective epilepsy surgery Epilepsia. 2020 Oct;61(10):2265-2276.

doi: 10.1111/epi.16672.

GRINSPAN ZM, Mytinger JR, Baumer FM, Ciliberto MA, Cohen BH, Dlugos DJ, Harini C, Hussain SA, Joshi SM, Keator CG, Knupp KG, McGoldrick PE, Nickels KC, Park JT, Pasupuleti A, Patel AD, Shahid AM, Shellhaas RA, Shrey DW, Singh RK, Wolf SM, Yozawitz EG, Yuskaitis CJ, Waugh JL, Pearl PL. **Management of infantile spasms during the COVID-19 pandemic** J Child Neurol. 2020 Oct;35(12):828-834. doi: 10.1177/0883073820933739.

SARAF UU, Asranna A, Menon RN, Mohan MP, Vp V, Radhakrishnan A, Cherian A, Thomas SV. **Predictors of one-year language and** seizure outcomes in children with epileptic encephalopathy with continuous spike-and-wave during sleep (CSWS) Seizure. 2020 Oct;81:315-324.

doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2020.08.025.

SUGITATE R, Okubo Y, Nariai H, Matsui A. **The effects of antihistamine on the duration of the febrile seizure: A single center study with a systematic review and meta-analysis** *Brain Dev.* 2020 Feb;42(2):103-112. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2019.10.004.

KWON HE, Kim SH, Kang H-C, Lee JS, Kim HD.

Epilepsy surgery for pediatric patients with mild malformation of cortical development *Seizure*. 2020 Nov;82:50-55. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2020.09.019. SILBERG T, Ahoniska-Assa J, Bord A, Levav M, Polack O, Tzadok M, Heimer G, Bar-Yosef O, Geva R, Ben-Zeev B.

In the eye of the beholder: Using a multiple-informant approach to examine the mediating effect of cognitive functioning on emotional and behavioral problems in children with an active epilepsy

Seizure. 2020 Nov;82:31-38. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2020.09.002.

VIJIARATNAM N, Girges C, Idaszak J, Seneviratne U. Seizures triggered by eating: a rare form of reflex epilepsy *Epileptic Disord*. 2020 Oct 1;22(5):648-653. doi: 10.1684/epd.2020.1200.

MANOKARAN RK, Tripathi M, Chakrabarty B, Pandey RM, Gulati S. **Sleep abnormalities and polysomnographic profile in children with drug-resistant epilepsy** *Seizure*. 2020 Nov;82:59-64. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2020.09.016.

KLOTZ KA, Sag Y, Schönberger J, Jacobs J. Scalp ripples can predict development of epilepsy after first unprovoked seizure in childhood Ann Neurol. 2021 Jan;89(1):134-142. doi: 10.1002/ana.25939.

SCHREIBER JM, Frank LH, Kroner BL, Bumbut A, Ismail MO, Gaillard WD. Children with refractory epilepsy demonstrate alterations in myocardial strain

Epilepsia. 2020 Oct;61(10):2234-2243. doi: 10.1111/epi.16652.

HUANG C, Li X, Wu L, Wu G, Wang P, Peng Y, Huang S, Yang Z, Dai W, Ge L, Lyu Y, Wang L, Zhang A.

The effect of different dietary structure on gastrointestinal dysfunction in children with cerebral palsy and epilepsy based on gut microbiota *Brain Dev.* 2021 Feb;43(2):192-199. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2020.09.013.

MANN D, Antinew T, Knapp L, Almas M, Liu J, Scavone J, Yang R, Modequillo M, Makedonska I, Ortiz M, Kyrychenko A, Nordli D, Farkas V, Farkas MK, A0081042 study group. **Pregabalin adjunctive therapy for focal onset seizures in children I month to** <4 years of age: A double-blind, placebocontrolled, videoelectroencephalographic trial *Epilepsia*. 2020 Apr;61(4):617-626. doi: 10.1111/epi.16466.

HARINI C, Nagarajan E, Bergin AM, Pearl P, Loddenkemper T, Takeoka M, Morrison PF, Coulter D, Harappanahally G, Marti C, Singh K, Yuskaitis C, Poduri A, Libenson MH. **Mortality in infantile spasms: A hospitalbased study** *Epilepsia*. 2020 Apr;61(4):702-713. doi: 10.1111/epi.16468.

FUNG FW, Jacobwitz M, Parikh DS, Vala L, Donnelly M, Fan J, Xiao R, Topjian AA, Abend NS.

Development of a model to predict electroencephalographic seizures in critically ill children *Epilepsia*. 2020 Mar;61(3):498-508. doi: 10.1111/epi.16448.

MIN JY, Patel AD, Glynn P, Otgonsuren M, Harridas B, Grinspan ZM. **Evaluation of a care management program for pediatric epilepsy patients** *J Child Neurol.* 2021 Mar;36(3):203-209. doi: 10.1177/0883073820964165.

DAVICO C, Marcotulli D, Lux C, Calderoni D, Terrinoni A, Di Santo F, Ricci F, Vittorini R, Amianto F, Urbino A, Ferrara M, Vitiello B. Where have the children with epilepsy gone? An observational study of seizure-related accesses to emergency department at the time of COVID-19 Seizure. 2020 Dec;83:38-40. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2020.09.025.

GAMA AP, Taura M, Alonso NB, Sousa AM, da Silva Noffs MH, Yacubian EM, Guilhoto LM. Impulsiveness, personality traits and executive functioning in patients with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy Seizure. 2020 Nov;82:125-132. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2020.09.029.

GEENEN KR, Patel S, Thiele EA. **Sunflower syndrome: a poorly understood photosensitive epilepsy** Dev Med Child Neurol. 2021 Mar;63(3):259-262. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.14723.

MASTRANGELO M.

Towards an evidence-based treatment of pediatric status epilepticus: still a

mountain to climb Seizure. 2020 Dec;83:143-144. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2020.10.015.

FOX JR, Guido-Estrada N, Williams K, Jarrar R.

Outcomes among patients with infantile spasms treated with hormonal therapy and adjuvant topiramate versus hormonal therapy alone *Epileptic Disord.* 2020 Feb 1;22(1):33-38. doi: 10.1684/epd.2020.1133.

ANCIONES C, Gil-Nagel A. **Adverse effects of cannabinoids** *Epileptic Disord*. 2020 Jan 1;22(S1):29-32. doi: 10.1684/epd.2019.1125.

LANDMARK CJ, Brandl U. **Pharmacology and drug interactions of cannabinoids** *Epileptic Disord*. 2020 Jan 1;22(S1):16-22. doi: 10.1684/epd.2019.1123.

LAGAE L.

Long-term effects of cannabinoids on development/behaviour Epileptic Disord. 2020 Jan 1;22(S1):33-37. doi: 10.1684/epd.2019.1126.

FONSECA Wald ELA, Debeij-Van Hall MHJA, De Jong E, Aldenkamp AP, Vermeulen RJ, Vles JSH, Klinkenberg S, Hendriksen JGM. **Neurocognitive and behavioural profile in Panayiotopoulos syndrome** Dev Med Child Neurol. 2020 Aug;62(8):985-992. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.14417.

ROMANO C, Giacchi V, Mauceri L, Pavone P, Taibi R, Gulisano M, Rizzo R, Ruggieri M, Falsaperla R.

Neurodevelopmental outcomes of neonatal non-epileptic paroxysmal events: a prospective study Dev Med Child Neurol. 2021 Mar;63(3):343-348. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.14784.

Guido PC, Riva N, Caraballo R, Reyes G, Huaman M, Gutierrez R, Agostini S, Delaven SF, Montilla CAP, Bournissen FG, Schaiquevich P. **Pharmacokinetics of cannabidiol in children with refractory epileptic encephalopathy** *Epilepsia.* 2021 Jan;62(1):e7-e12. doi: 10.1111/epi.16781.

DA SILVA LG, de Beltrão ICSL, de Araujo Delmondes G, de Alencar CDC, Damasceno SS, Silva NS, Martins ÁKL, Bertoldi R, Kerntopf MR, Bandeira PFR. **Beliefs and attitudes towards child epilepsy: A structural equation model** *Seizure*. 2021 Jan;84:53-59. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2020.11.020.

TAO JX, Satzer D, Issa NP, Collins J, Wu S, Rose S, Henry J, de Lima FS, Nordli D, Warnke PC.

Stereotactic laser anterior corpus callosotomy for Lennox-Gastaut syndrome

Epilepsia. 2020 Jun;61(6):1190-1200. doi: 10.1111/epi.16535.

LATTANZI S, Trinka E, Striano P, Zaccara G, Del Giovane C, Nardone R, Silvestrini M, Brigo F.

Cannabidiol efficacy and clobazam status: A systematic review and metaanalysis

Epilepsia. 2020 Jun;61(6):1090-1098. doi: 10.1111/epi.16546.

BIALER M, Perucca E.

Does cannabidiol have antiseizure activity independent of its interactions with clobazam? An appraisal of the evidence from randomized controlled trials

Epilepsia. 2020 Jun;61(6):1082-1089. doi: 10.1111/epi.16542.

BARBA C, Cossu M, Guerrini R, Di Gennaro G, Villani F, De Palma L, Grisotto L, Consales A, Battaglia D, Zamponi N, d'Orio P, Revay M, Rizzi M, Casciato S, Esposito V, Quarato PP, Di Giacomo R, Didato G, Pastori C, Pavia GC, Pellacani S, Matta G, Pacetti M, Tamburrini G, Cesaroni E, Colicchio G, Vatti G, Asioli S, Caulo M, TLE Study Group; Marras CE, Tassi L. **Temporal lobe epilepsy surgery in children and adults: A multicenter study** *Epilepsia.* 2021 Jan;62(1):128-142. doi: 10.1111/epi.16772.

SVEINSSON O, Andersson T, Mattsson P, Carlsson S, Tomson T.

Pharmacologic treatment and SUDEP risk: A nationwide, population-based, case-control study

Neurology. 2020 Nov 3;95(18):e2509-e2518. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000010874.

WANIGASINGHE J, Jayawickrama A, Hewawitharana G, Munasinghe J, Weeraratne CT, Ratnayake P, Wijesekara DS, Fernando S, Rupasinghe P.

Experience during COVID-19 lockdown and self-managing strategies among caregivers of children with epilepsy: A study from low middle income country *Seizure*. 2021 Jan;84:112-115. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2020.12.001.

DEPERMENTIER M, Mercier N, Santalucia R, Lhommel R, Nassogne M-C, Cilio MR. **Vomiting and retching as presenting** signs of focal epilepsy in children *Epileptic Disord*. 2020 Dec 1;22(6):823-827. doi: 10.1684/epd.2020.1227.

HESS-HOMEIER DL, Parikh K, Basma N, Vella AE, Grinspan ZM.

Automated identification and quality measurement for pediatric convulsive status epilepticus *Epilepsia*. 2021 Feb;62(2):337-346. doi: 10.1111/epi.16795. GRINSPAN ZM, Patel AD, Shellhaas RA, Berg AT, Axeen ET, Bolton J, Clarke DF, Coryell J, Gaillard WD, Goodkin HP, Koh S, Kukla A, Mbwana JS, Morgan LA, Singhal NS, Storey MM, Yozawitz EG, Abend NS, Fitzgerald MP, Fridinger SE, Helbig I, Massey SL, Prelack MS, Buchhalter J, Pediatric Epilepsy Learning Healthcare System.

Design and implementation of electronic health record common data elements for pediatric epilepsy: Foundations for a learning health care system *Epilepsia.* 2021 Jan;62(1):198-216. doi: 10.1111/epi.16733.

SEESE RR, Cummings DD. **Epilepsy-related outcomes in children** with neonatal cerebellar injury J Child Neurol. 2020 Dec 24;883073820981261.

doi: 10.1177/0883073820981261.

JAUHARI P, Farmania R, Chakrabarty B, Kumar A, Gulati S. Electrographic pattern recognition: A simple tool to predict clinical outcome in children with lissencephaly Seizure. 2020 Dec;83:175-180. doi: 10.1016/j.seizure.2020.10.020.

RHEIMS S, Auvin S. Attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and epilepsy Curr Opin Neurol. 2021 Jan 13. doi: 10.1097/WCO.000000000000903.

MEWASINGH LD, Chin RFM, Scott RC. **Current understanding of febrile seizures and their long-term outcomes** Dev Med Child Neurol. 2020 Nov;62(11):1245-1249. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.14642.

Paediatric Epilepsy is published by: Epilepsy Action, New Anstey House, Gate Way Drive, Yeadon, Leeds LS19 7XY, UK Date of preparation: April 2020

Epilepsy Action is a working name of British Epilepsy Association. British Epilepsy Association is a Registered Charity in England and Wales (No. 234343) and a Company Limited by Guarantee (No. 797997).

The authors, editors, owners and publishers do not accept any responsibility for any loss or damage arising from actions or decisions based on information contained in this publication; ultimate responsibility for the treatment of patients and interpretations of published material lies with the health practitioner. The opinions expressed are those of the authors and the inclusion in this publication of material relating to a particular product, method or technique does not amount to an endorsement of its value or quality, or of the claims made by its manufacturer.

© 2021 Epilepsy Action ISSN 2631-7400 New Anstey House, Gate Way Drive, Yeadon, Leeds LS19 7XY, UK tel: 0113 210 8800 | fax: 0113 391 0300 | Epilepsy Action Helpline freephone: 0808 800 5050 email: epilepsy@epilepsy.org.uk epilepsy.org.uk To subscribe, email: editor@epilepsy.org.uk